

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,093	12/23/2003	Hyung Ki Hong	041501-5594	7999	
9629	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			DUONG, TAI V		
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW DN, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/743,093	HONG, HYUNG	HONG, HYUNG KI			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tai Duong	2871				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum y period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Ex						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	··-/	ce of Informal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)			

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A: claims 1-12 and 21-32 drawn to a LCD of Fig. 4 and a method of fabricating such LCD.

B: claims 13-20 and 33-40 drawn to a LCD of Fig. 6 and a method of fabricating such LCD.

Further, Species A and B each contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species:

Sub-species A

A1: claims 2 and 22 drawn to the LCD of Fig. 4 comprising a cholesteric liquid crystal layer.

A2: claims 3 and 23 drawn to the LCD of Fig. 4 comprising a ferroelectric liquid crystal layer.

Sub-species B

B1: claim 39 drawn to the LCD of Fig. 6 comprising a cholesteric liquid crystal layer.

B2: claim 40 drawn to the LCD of Fig. 6 comprising a ferroelectric liquid crystal layer.

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed *sub-species* for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 4-11, 21 and 24-32 are generic with respect to A1 and A2 while claims 13-20 and 33-38 are generic with respect to B1 and B2.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

T) TVD

08/05

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY

PRIMARY EXAMINED